





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,967	05/10/2001	Hisayoshi Nishida	OSP-10643	4114
30743	7590 02/19/2004		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			TORRES, MARCOS L	
SUITE 340	I HILLS KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	A 20190		2683	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	ψ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Dm

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/851,967	NISHIDA, HISAYOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marcos L Torres	2683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	anuary 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·	` ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/851,967

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis in view of Nathan, and further in view of Kelly.

As to claims 1-4, Berstis discloses remote control method of remote-controlling a data processing device (see col. 1, lines 6-11), comprising: a step, performed by a remote device associated with the data processing device, of sending control data for operating the data processing device to a server via the Internet (see col. 7, lines 55-60); a step, performed by the remote device, of calling the data processing device via a telephone network (see col. 6, lines 64-65); a step, performed by the data processing device, of referring to a caller's number communicated when the current call is accepted, and recognizing, based on the caller's number, (see col. 6, line 65 – col. 7,

line 10); a step, performed by the data processing device, of sending download control data if the call is issued from the remote device (see col. 7, lines 12-15). Berstis do not specifically disclose that the remote device is portable, execution of the downloaded data or if the download is from data processing device. Nathan discloses a data processing device, which downloads the control data from the server (see col. 3, lines 10-24), a step performed by the data processing device, of executing a process indicated by the downloaded control data (see col. 7, lines 12-15, 27-30), execute downloaded data (see col. 4, lines 9-23) and a server having a storage device, for receiving, control data by which the data processing device operates and storing the received control data in the storage device (see col. 2, lines 28-32). Kelly discloses a portable wireless telephone with access to the Internet used for remote control (see col. 3, lines 21-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine these teachings for a secure and convenient

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Jung U.S. Publication US 20030013485A1
 - b. Matsuyama U.S. publication US 20030008650A1
 - c. Nickum U.S. Publication US20010039195A1
 - d. Musk U.S. Patent US006185194B1

portable remote control system.

e. Britt U.S. Patent US006327355B1

Application/Control Number: 09/851,967

Art Unit: 2683

- f. Carey U.S. Patent 4,866,732
- g. Hayes U.S. Patent US006480586B1
- h. Crookham U.S. Patent US006681110B1
- i. Ito U.S. Patent US006510212B2
- Meade U.S. Publication US 20030073432A1
- k. Furukawa U.S. Publication US 20010019953
- I. Inoue U.S. Publication US 20010030597

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 703-872-9314

For formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA
Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-1478. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm alt. friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,967

Art Unit: 2683

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William G Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2683

Mlt

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600